



НАЦИОНАЛЬНЫЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬСКИЙ  
УНИВЕРСИТЕТ

# Coherence of academic texts: a case study of research papers in management

Elizaveta A. Smirnova

Svetlana A. Strinyuk

# Definition

- *Coherence* – the quality of being logical and consistent.

Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary

# Approaches

- Semantic vs lexical coherence  
(Fahnestock, 1983)
- Relational vs referential coherence  
(Dedand et al., 1999)

# Relational Coherence

- connectives (e.g. *because, so, however, although*)
- lexical cue phrases (e.g. *the reason was*)

# Anaphora

- (from Greek *ana*-backwards, *-phorein* – carry, bear)
- a language phenomenon, which introduces the connection between **anaphor** and **antecedent**
- *Michael injured himself playing football.*  
(Antecedent – *Michael*; anaphor – *himself*)

# Types of Anaphora

- Nominal anaphora, e.g.:
- *Our experimental results revealed that decision makers saw opportunities for increased legitimacy if **they** complied early with the coercive demand primarily when **they** faced low institutional complexity. As institutional complexity increased, **they** planned increasing delays in **their** compliance (AM 2015-58).*

# Types of Anaphora

- Verbal anaphora, e.g.:
- *Hospitals that did not offer robotic surgery, especially when other nearby hospitals **did**, risked losing appeal and patients (AM 2015-58);*
- *In sum, there are several reasons why managers perceive women as having less career motivation than men **do** (JM 2014-40).*

# Types of Anaphora

- Adverbial anaphora, e.g.:
- *I belong to that category of people that, I mean, if I read one night about a new intervention performed in Boston, the following day I was rushing **there** to see how they do it (AM 2015-58).*



# Anaphors & Coherence

- ‘an essential pillar supporting the overlay of [coherence] relation in fleshing out and integrating their discourse values’ (Cornish, 2009).

# Data & Method

- Two corpora: RPs of fourth-year HSE management students (130,000 words) and articles from leading journals in Management (694,000 words)
- AntConc concordance programme
- Contrastive interlanguage analysis (Gilquin, 2000/2001; Granger, 1996)

# Material for Analysis

- Personal pronouns (e.g. it, they)
- Object pronouns (e.g. him, them)
- Reflexive pronouns (e.g. himself)
- Demonstrative pronouns (e.g. this)
- Indefinite pronouns (one, ones)
- Auxiliary verbs functioning as substitutes (e.g. do, have)
- Adverbs (here, there)

# Research Findings

| Anaphor     | Absolute and normalized frequency<br>(per 1000 words) |                  |
|-------------|---|------------------|
|             | Learner Corpus  | Reference Corpus |
| <b>he</b>   | 52 (0.4)  | 280 (0.403)      |
| <b>she</b>  | 11 (0.084)  | 113 (0.162)      |
| <b>they</b> | 208 (1.6)   | 2453 (3.534)     |
| <b>do</b>   | 3 (0.023)   | 75 (0.108)       |
| <b>did</b>  | 1 (0.007)   | 20 (0.029)       |

# Example

- *Many organizations strive to reduce production loss to gain an advantage over their main competitors or to reduce costs, but only few companies gain it and reduce their costs (M 2015-45).*
- *Many organizations strive to reduce production loss to gain an advantage over their main competitors or to reduce costs, but only few companies **do**.*

# References

- Basturkmen, H., & von Randow, J. (2014). Guiding the reader (or not) to re-create coherence: Observations on postgraduate student writing in an academic argumentative writing task. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 16, 14-22.
- Bublitz, W. (1999). Views of coherence. In W. Bublitz, U. Lemk, & E. Ventola (Eds.), *Coherence in spoken and written Discourse: How to create it and how to describe it* (pp. 1-11). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Bublitz, W. (2001). Cohesion and coherence. In J. Zienkowski, J.-A. Östman, & J. Verschueren (Eds.), *Discursive pragmatics* (pp. 37-49). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Graesser, A. C., McNamara, D. S., & Louwerse, M. M. (2003). What Do Readers Need to Learn in Order to Process Coherence Relations in Narrative and Expository Text? In A. P. Sweet & C. E. Snow (Eds.), *Rethinking Reading Comprehension* (pp. 82–98). New York: Guilford Publications.
- Graesser, A.C., Millis, K., & Zwaan, R. A. (1997). Discourse comprehension. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 48, 163–89.
- Hobbs, J. R. (1979). Coherence and coreference. *Cognitive Science*, 3, 67–90.
- Hoey, M. (2001). *Textual interaction: An introduction to written discourse analysis*. London: Routledge.
- Lorenz, G. (1999). Learning to cohere: causal links in native vs. non-native argumentative writing. In W. Bublitz, U. Lenk, & E. Ventola (Eds.), *Coherence in spoken and written discourse: How to create it and how to describe it* (pp. 55-76). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Sanders, T., & Pander Maat, H. (2006), Cohesion and Coherence. In K. Brown (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Language and Linguistics. Vol. 2*. Amsterdam etc.: Elsevier, pp. 591–595.
- Struthers, L., Lapadat, J. C., & MacMillan, P. D. (2013). Assessing cohesion in children's writing: development of a checklist. *Assessing Writing*, 18, 187-201.
- Wolfe, C. R. (2011). Argumentation across the curriculum. *Written Communication*, 28(2), 193-219.



НАЦИОНАЛЬНЫЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬСКИЙ  
УНИВЕРСИТЕТ

**Thank you for your attention!**