Literature Review

Data

Methodology

Results for explanatory model

Methodoly cont.

Results for predictive model

Comparison

Conclusion

Descriptive and predictive models of consumer demand for Perm opera

Alina Ozhegova Evgeniy M. Ozhegov

National Research University Higher School of Economics, Perm Research Group for Applied Markets and Enterprises Studies (GAMES)

> 15.11.2016 GAMES Research Seminar

> > ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★□▶ ★□▶ □ のQ@

Introduction

Literature Review

Data

Methodology

Results for explanatory model

Methodoly cont.

Results for predictive model

Comparison

Conclusion

Perm Opera and Ballet Theatre

- ▲ One of Russia's oldest theatres (Foundation in 1870).
- The theater has won the variety of awards (Golden Masks).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のQ@

- The theatre is organizer of the International Diaghilev Festival and the Ekaterina Maximova Arabesque Ballet Competition.
- ▲ Since 2011 Teodor Currentzis is the theatre's Artistic Director.

Introduction

Literature Review

Data

Methodology

Results for explanatory model

Methodoly cont.

Results for predictive model

Comparison

Conclusion

Assumptions for Research Question

▲ The theater is nonprofit.

- ▲ The goal is to attract the residents to cultural life.
- The revenue from performances should cover at least 10% of expenditures.
- Objective function: maximization of revenue and maximization of attendance.
- The seats in a house are heterogeneous (price, quality of view, sound, prestige).
- Consumer demands for a particular seat (seating area) and for performance.
- Price varies over seats, performance and play characteristics.

Introduction

Literature Review

Data

Methodology

Results for explanatory model

Methodoly cont.

Results for predictive model

Comparison

Conclusion

Goal to

- ▲ Estimate the demand function for performing arts
- Increase the efficiency of pricing mechanism over seats and performances

Research Question

▲ Do the effects of a price and characteristics vary across the performances and the seats in a house?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★□▶ ★□▶ □ のQ@

Literature Review

Introduction

Literature Review

Data

Methodology

Results for explanatory model

Methodoly cont.

Results for predictive model

Comparison

Conclusion

Studies on stated preferences

- ▲ Consumer preferences (Bille-Hansen, 1997);
- ▲ Utility function (Grisolia & Willis, 2012);
- ▲ Consumer surplus (Train, 2003);
- ▲ Willigness to pay (Levy-Garboua & Montmarquette, 1996);

(ロ) (型) (E) (E) (E) (O)

▲ Patron (Baumol & Bowen, 1966).

Literature Review

Introduction

Literature Review

Data

Methodology

Results for explanatory model

Methodoly cont.

Results for predictive model

Comparison

Conclusion

Studies on revealed preferences

- Price elasticity of demand (Moore, 1966; Throsby & Withers, 1979);
- Income elasticity of demand (Greckel & Felton, 1987; Felton, 1994);
- Cross price elasticity of demand (Withers, 1980; Gapinski, 1984);

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★□▶ ★□▶ □ のQ@

▲ The effect of quality (Throsby, 1983; Corning & Levy, 2002).

Data Description

- Introduction
- Literature Review
- Data
- Methodology
- Results for explanatory model
- Methodoly cont.
- Results for predictive model
- Comparison
- $\mathsf{Conclusion}$

Data Source: Sales System of Perm Opera and Ballet Theatre

▲ロト ▲冊ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー わえぐ

- ▲ 4 seasons (2011-2012/2014-2015)
- ▲ 985 performances
- 170 unique productions
- ▲ 680000 sales operations

Data Description

Introduction

Literature Review

Data

Methodology

Results for explanatory model

Methodoly cont.

Results for predictive model

Comparison

Conclusion

- ▲ The name of performance;
- ▲ time: season, year, month, day of week, hour;
- ▲ the basic price of a ticket;
- ▲ the sector (loge, the stalls, tiered stalls, circle, upper circle);

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★□▶ ★□▶ □ のQ@

- ▲ row and seat;
- ▲ seating area.

Scheme of a house



Literature Review

Data

Methodology

Results for explanatory model

Methodoly cont.

Results for predictive model

Comparison

Conclusion



◆ロト ◆昼 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

Data description. Characteristics of performances

Introduction

Literature Review

Data

Methodology

Results for explanatory model

Methodoly cont.

Results for predictive model

Comparison

Conclusion

- ▲ Type of performance (opera/ballet);
- the date of writing (classical/modern);
- the author (the rating, the nationality);
- the world rating of production;
- the date of premiere in a theatre;
- the duration of performance;
- ▲ the director and band director of production;

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のQ@

- ▲ chorus-master/ballet-master;
- the number of awards;
- ▲ age recommended for attendance.

Descriptive statistics: performance characteristics

INTRO AUCTION	۰.
Incroduction	

Literature Review

Data

Methodology

Results for explanatory model

Methodoly cont.

Results for predictive model

Comparison

Variable	O bs	Share
Type of performance	2682	
Ballet	954	36.6
Opera	1728	64.4
The date of writing	2682	
Before 1990	2304	85.9
1990 and later on	378	14.1
Recommended age group	2682	
From 0	1107	41.3
From 12	1170	43.6
From 16	405	15.1
The presence of nomination in Golden Mask	2682	
Presence	386	14.4
Absence	2196	85.6
The presence of winning in Golden Mask	2682	
Presence	144	5.4
Absence	2538	96.6
The author's nationality	2682	
Russian	1521	56.7
Other	1161	43.3

Descriptive statistics: performance characteristics

Introduction

Literature Review

Data

Methodology

Results for explanatory model

Methodoly cont.

Results for predictive model

Comparison

Conclusion

Variable	Description	O bs	Mean	St.dev.	Min	Max
Length	Length in minutes	1971	152.9	46.60	60	230
Antracts	The number of antracts	1971	1.60	0.6	1	3
Acts	The number of acts	2268	2.7	0.66	1	4
Rating of opera	1/(rating of opera)	2682	0.08	0.22	0.01	1
Rating of composer	1/(rating of composer)	2682	0.09	0.21	0.01	1
Rating of ballet	1/(rating of ballet)	2682	0.09	0.22	0.01	1

イロト (個) (日) (日) (日) (日) (の)

Descriptive statistics: price

Introduction

_iterature Review	Variable	O bs	Mean	St.dev.	Min	Max	
Data							
Methodology	Basic price	2682	412	381	100	2000	
	Basic price (area1)	298	903.4	503.2	300	2000	
Results for	Basic price (area2)	298	619.8	655.1	250	1400	
explanatory	Basic price (area3)	298	525.2	364.8	210	1300	
noder	Basic price (area4)	298	462.1	336.9	180	1200	
Methodoly	Basic price (area5)	298	378.1	278.3	160	1000	
cont.	Basic price (area6)	298	299.4	222.2	140	800	
Results for	Basic price (area7)	298	239.9	158.6	120	600	
predictive	Basic price (area8)	298	180.4	94.5	110	400	
model	Basic price (area9)	298	100	0	100	100	

Comparison

Conclusion

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

Introduction

Literature Review	Variable	O bs	Mean	St.dev.	Min	Max
Data						
	Attendance rate	2682	0.80	0.25	0	1
Methodology	Attendance (area1)	298	0.85	0.16	0.11	1
Results for	Attendance (area2)	298	0.89	0.14	0.35	1
explanatory	Attendance (area3)	298	0.89	0.15	0.35	1
model	Attendance (area4)	298	0.90	0.15	0.11	1
NA 11 I I	Attendance (area5)	298	0.84	0.21	0.11	1
wiethodoly	Attendance (area6)	298	0.80	0.25	0.06	1
cont.	Attendance (area7)	298	0.70	0.32	0.02	1
Results for	Attendance (area8)	298	0.65	0.34	0	1
predictive	Attendance (area9)	298	0.72	0.31	0	1
mode						

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

Comparison

Introduction

Literature	Variable	Operas	Ballets	Total
Keview				
Data	Attendance rate	0.72	0.96	0.80
	Attendance (area1)	0.83	0.92	0.85
Methodology	Attendance (area2)	0.87	0.96	0.89
Results for	Attendance (area3)	0.85	0.96	0.89
explanatory	Attendance (area4)	0.86	0.97	0.90
model	Attendance (area5)	0.76	0.98	0.84
Mathadaly	Attendance (area6)	0.68	0.98	0.80
cont	Attendance (area7)	0.52	0.97	0.70
cont.	Attendance (area8)	0.46	0.95	0.65
Results for	Attendance (area9)	0.64	0.87	0.72
predictive				

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

Comparison

. model

Introduction

Literature Review	Variable	O peras	Ballets	Tota
Data	Attendance rate	0.72	0.96	0.80
Mathadalamu	Price for area $1 = 300$	0.79	0.50	0.79
Wethodology	Price for area $1 = 500$	0.70	0.98	0.72
Results for	Price for area $1 = 600$		1.00	1.00
explanatory	Price for area $1 = 700$	0.67	0.93	0.68
model	Price for area $1 = 800$	0.59	0.95	0.92
Methodoly	Price for area $1 = 1000$	0.79	0.96	0.90
cont.	Price for area $1 = 1500$		0.97	0.97
Results for	Price for area $1 = 2000$	0.88	0.94	0.92

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

predictive

Comparison

Descriptive statistics: additional variables

	Variable	Mean	St.D.	Min	Max	Play with max
roduction				C	peras	
erature	Plays in prev. year	2.2	2.4	0	13	Малахитовая шкатулка
ta	Plays in ± 15 days	1.1	1.2	0	8	Малахитовая шкатулка
thodology	Plays in ± 7 days	1.0	1.0	0	4	Малахитовая шкатулка
sults for planatory odel	Mean attendance Attended	608 2078	92 2134	372 0	841 10758	Свадьба Фигаро Севильский цирюльник Севильский
it.		2010	Ballets		цирюльник	
sults for	Plays in prev year	3.1	3.2	0	14	Щелкунчик
del .	Plays in ± 15 days Plays in ± 7 days	1.4 1.3	1.7 1.7	0 0	7 7	Щелкунчик Щелкунчик
nparison nclusion	Mean attendance	809	35	695	839	Ромео и Джульетта
	Attended	3295	3137	0	14068	Щелкунчик

Data Analysis



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへ()~

Methodology

Model of censored quantile regression (Chernozhukov & Hong, 2011):

Introduction

Literature Review

Data

Methodology

Results for explanatory model

Methodoly cont.

Results for predictive model

Comparison

Conclusion

$$Q_{y_{ij}^*|x_{ij},p_{ij}}(\alpha) = x_{ij}\beta(\alpha) + p_{ij}\gamma(\alpha),$$

$$Q_{y_{ij}|x_{ij},p_{ij}}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} Q_{y_{ij}^*|x_{ij},p_{ij}}(\alpha), & y_{ij}^* \le 1\\ 1, & y_{ij}^* > 1 \end{cases},$$
(1)

where

 y_{ij} is the observed demand on performance i in seating area j; y_{ij}^* is a potential demand on performance i in seating area j; $Q(\alpha)$ is a conditional quantile function of level α ; α is a level of quantile, $\alpha \in [0..1]$;

 p_{ij} is the price of a ticket on performance i in a j-th seating area;

 x_{ij} are the characteristics of performance i in a j-th seating area.

Estimation procedure

Introduction

Literature Review

Data

Methodology

Results for explanatory model

Methodoly cont.

Results for predictive model

Comparison

Conclusion

Step 1. Estimation of probability to be censored for each observation

$$\hat{P}_{ij} = E[Prob(y_{ij} > 1) | x_{ij}, p_{ij})], \forall (ij)$$

Step 2. Estimation of model on the sample J_0

$$\begin{split} \check{y}_{ij} &= Q_{y_{ij}|x_{ij}, p_{ij}}(\alpha), \text{ where } (ij) \in J_0 \\ J_0 &= \{(ij)|\hat{P}_{ij} \leq F_{0.9}^{-1}(\hat{P}_{ij} < (1-\alpha))\} \end{split}$$

Step 3. Final estimation of model on the sample J_1

ヘロマ ふぼう ヘヨマ ション

э

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{y}_{ij} &= Q_{y_{ij}|x_{ij}, p_{ij}}(\alpha), \text{ where } (ij) \in J_1 \\ J_1 &= \{(ij)|\check{y}_{ij} \leq F_{0.97}^{-1}(\check{y}_{ij} \leq 1)\} \end{aligned}$$

Results Regressions

		01.0	Median	Censored median
	Variable	ULS	regression	regression
	P : : /100	-0.022***	-0.015***	-0.028***
	Basic price/100	(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.004)
	T I :	0.060***	0.052***	0.090***
iction	ine russian author	(0.010)	(0.017)	(0.018)
	B .	0.110***	0.112***	0.154***
	Premiere	(0.014)	(0.023)	(0.026)
		0.034	0.068	0.020
	Rating of opera	(0.027)	(0.044)	(0.044)
	B 1 1 1	0.107***	0.072*	0.252***
	Rating of ballet	(0.023)	(0.038)	(0.054)
y		0.333****	0.257***	0.414***
	Type: Ballet	(0.013)	(0.021)	(0.024)
		0.045***	0.053***	0.059***
	Number of awards in GM	(0.011)	(0.019)	(0.020)
		ò.039**	0.020	0.054*´
	Band director I.Currentzis	(0.019)	(0.031)	(0.032)
		0.039***	0.007	Ò.043**́
	Recommended age: from 12 y.o.	(0.011)	(0.018)	(0.019)
	B	-0.078***	-0.128***	-0.098***
	Recommended age: from 16 y.o.	(0.018)	(0.030)	(0.030)
		-0.028**	0.010	-0.026
	The time of day: evening	(0.014)	(0.023)	(0.026)
	<i>c</i>	0.793***	0 813***	0.847***
	Constant	(0.025)	(0.041)	(0.047)
	Number of observations	2682	2682	2682
	Number of parameters	35	35	35
	R ²	0.467	0.452	0.502
	10	5.401	0.402	0.002

Results. Censored quantile regression on different quantiles

	Variable	α=0.2	$\alpha = 0.4$	α =0.6	$\alpha = 0.8$
	Basia anias /100	-0.036***	-0.031***	-0.026***	-0.012***
	Basic price/100	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.004)	(0.002)
	T I I II	0.104***	0 107***	0.086***	0.046***
ntroduction	ine russian author	(0.016)	(0.016)	(0.020)	(0.011)
	Deservisors	0.182***	0.174***	0.135***	0.056***
iterature	Fremiere	(0.022)	(0.023)	(0.029)	(0.017)
Review	Dation of an one	0.105***	0.071*	0.018	0.013
.	Kating of opera	(0.040)	(0.040)	(0.049)	(0.029)
Jata	Deting of hollow	0.267***	0.195***	0.233***	0 157***
/lethodology	Rating of ballet	(0.045)	(0.046)	(0.060)	(0.049)
nethodology	T D .	0.533***	0.466***	0.363***	0.135***
Results for	Type: Ballet	(0.021)	(0.022)	(0.028)	(0.015)
xplanatory		0.063***	0.074***	0.030	0.015
nodel	The number of awards in Givi	(0.017)	(0.017)	(0.021)	(0.016)
	Read diversa T. Commentais	0.009	0.036	0.008	-0.004
/leth o doly	Band director 1. Currentzis	(0.029)	(0.029)	(0.035)	(0.021)
ont.	Pressented and from 12 and	0.049***	0.038**	0.048**	0.017
	Recommended age: from 12 y.o.	(0.017)	(0.017)	(0.022)	(0.012)
Results for	December ded ener from 16 ···	-0.095***	0 117***	-0.046	-0.030
redictive	Recommended age: from 10 y.o.	(0.027)	(0.027)	(0.033)	(0.019)
nodel		-0.068***	-0.018	0.018	-0.003
	The time of day: evening	(0.022)	(0.023)	(0.029)	(0.016)
omparison	Constant	0.674***	0.795***	0.891***	0.973***
Conclusion	Constant	(0.040)	(0.042)	(0.053)	(0.029)
Soliciusion	Number of observations	2682	2682	2682	2682
	Number of parameters	35	35	35	35
	•				

Results. Censored quantile regression on different quantiles

	Variable	α=0.2	α=0.4	α=0.6	α=0.8
	Log of price	-0.222***	0.131***	-0.070***	-0.032***
		(0.018)	(0.019)	(0.025)	(0.012)
	The russian author	0.081***	0.101***	0.067***	0.039***
ntroduction		(0.015)	(0.016)	(0.019)	(0.010)
	Premiere	0.146***	0.182***	0.108***	0.040**
_iterature		(0.021)	(0.023)	(0.030)	(0.017)
Review	Rating of opera	0.122***	0.093**	0.045	0.038
		(0.037)	(0.039)	(0.048)	(0.024)
Data	Rating of ballet	0.269***	0.170***	0.159***	0.171***
		(0.040)	(0.042)	(0.056)	(0.045)
vietnodology	Type: Ballet	0.535***	0.421***	0.266***	0.092***
Results for		(0.021)	(0.023)	(0.028)	(0.014)
explanatory	The number of awards in GM	0.007	0.057***	0.033	0.018*
nodel		(0.016)	(0.016)	(0.021)	(0.011)
	Band director T. Currentzis	0.020	0.034	0.058	0.007
Methodoly		(0.026)	(0.029)	(0.036)	(0.018)
cont.	Age recommended: from 12 y.o.	0.024	0.057***	0.066***	0.029***
		(0.016)	(0.017)	(0.021)	(0.010)
Results for	Age recommended: from 16 y.o.	-0.099***	-0.132***	-0.105***	-0.063***
predictive		(0.025)	(0.025)	(0.031)	(0.015)
model	Time of day: evening	-0.052**	-0.000	-0.010	0.015
		(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.026)	(0.014)
comparison	Constant	1.785***	1.391***	1.204***	1.121^{***}
Conclusion		(0.109)	(0.118)	(0.152)	(0.074)
	Number of observations	2682	2682	2682	2682
	Number of parameters	35	35	35	35

Results. Comparison of marginal effects



Endogeneity of Price

- Introduction
- Literature Review
- Data
- Methodology
- Results for explanatory model
- Methodoly cont.
- Results for predictive model
- Comparison
- Conclusion

- Possible correlation between unobservable performance quality and price
- ▲ The variation of price within the performance as an instrument for the price (Hausman & Taylor, 1981)
- ▲ Two-step estimation procedure (Chernozhukov, Kowalski & Fernandez-Val, 2015)
 - Regression of price on within price and performance characteristics
 - Regression of attendance rate on price, performance characteristics and residuals

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★□▶ ★□▶ □ のQ@

Endogeneity of Price. Estimation

Step 1. Regression of price on within price and performance characteristics

 $\hat{p}_{ijk} = Q_{min} \sum_{\tilde{n} \dots n} (\alpha)$, where $\tilde{p}_{ijk} = p_{ijk} - \bar{p}_{ijk}$

Introduction Literature Review

Data

Methodology

Results for explanatory model

Methodoly cont.

Results for predictive model

Comparison

Conclusion

$$\hat{e}_{ijk} = p_{ijk} - \hat{p}_{ijk}$$
 - residuals
 p_{ijk} - the price on play i in seating area j for performance k
 \tilde{p}_{ijk} - price within, an instrument for p_{ijk} ,
 $\bar{p}_{\cdot jk}$ - the average price over plays on performance k in seating
area j .

Step 2. Regression of attendance rate on price, performance characteristics and residuals from the first step

 $\hat{y}_{ijk} = Q_{y_{ijk}|p_{ijk}, x_{ijk}, \hat{e}_{ijk}}(\alpha)$

Endogeneity of Price. Results

Introduction							
Literature		$\alpha = 0.3$	α=0.3	$\alpha = 0.5$	α=0.5	α=0.7	α=0.7
Review		CQIV	CQR	CQIV	CQR	CQIV	CQR
Data	Basic	-0.041***	-0.040***	-0.038***	-0.037***	-0.031***	-0.030***
N	price	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)
Methodology	\hat{e}	(0.000)	-	(0.000)	-	(0.000)	-
Results for		()		. ,		. ,	
explanatory model	N	2384	2682	2384	2682	2384	2682
	ĸ	35	35	35	35	35	35

Methodoly cont.

Results for predictive model

Comparison

Conclusion

Note: standard errors are in parenthesis. Significance levels: * p < 0.1, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01. In the model we also include as control variables: the nationality of author, the rating and type of performance, the premier year, the band director, the recommended age, the time of day, the number of Golden Mask, the year and month of play and seating area dummies.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Brief summary

Introduction

Literature Review

Data

Methodology

Results for explanatory model

Methodoly cont.

Results for predictive model

Comparison

Conclusion

- ▲ The necessity to employ the quantile regression
- ▲ The necessity to account for demand censoring
- ▲ Consumers are elastic by price on average
- Price elasticity is decreasing with the quantile in absolute values
- Robustness check has revealed an absence of endogeneity problem.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のQ@

Methodology for prediction

	Censored quantile regression:
	+ Accounts for heterogeneity of effects
	+ Accounts for censoring by calibrating on (probably)
Introduction	uncensored data
Literature	+ More robust to outliers and nonnormal distributions of y
Review	and c
Data	
Methodology	- Heterogeneity is based on dependent variable: useless
	when predict
Results for	Regression (gradient stochastic) boosting:
model	+ Implementable for any regression model
Methodoly	+ Accounts for influential observations
cont.	(Was) no implemented algorithm for COR
Results for	
predictive	Kegression trees:

+ Accounts for heterogeneity based on RHS variables

+ May choose when to stop spliting using economic sense

- Hardly (but) implementable algorithm in Stata

and analysis of predictive accuracy in leaves

- Can not use many leaves when data is not so big

Comparison

Conclusion

In R

Μ R m M co Re

Methodology. Idea of regression boosting

Introduction

Literature Review

Data

Methodology

Results for explanatory model

Methodoly cont.

Results for predictive model

Comparison

Conclusion

$$y = f + e$$

 $\bigtriangledown_L(e)$ is a gradient for $\sum_i L(y_i, f)$
Ex. $L(y_i, f) = (y_i - f)^2 = e_i^2$

In a model

Update f by a loss gradient helps to optimize loss function

▲ロト ▲冊ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー わえぐ

Methodology. Idea of regression boosting

Set the initial guess for f:

$$f_0 = q_y(0.5)$$

Introduction

Literature Review

Data

Methodology

Results for explanatory model

Methodoly cont.

Results for predictive model

Comparison

Conclusion

For steps m = 1..M:

► calculate the residuals

$$e_i^{m-1} = y_i - f^{m-1}$$

regress the loss gradient on explanatory variables

$$\nabla_L(e_i^{m-1}) = g^m(x_i) + \eta_i$$

▶ predict the residuals

$$\hat{e}_i^{m-1} = \bigtriangledown^{-1}(\hat{g}^m(x_i))$$

• update f_{m-1} :

$$f_m = f_{m-1} + \hat{e}_i^{m-1}$$

Methodology. Idea of regression boosting

Introduction

Cool ideas:

Literature Review

Data

Methodology

Results for explanatory model

Methodoly cont.

Results for predictive model

Comparison

Conclusion

 \blacktriangle go in a direction of gradient with a step length $0<\lambda<1$

$$f_m = f_{m-1} + \lambda \hat{e}_i^{m-1}$$

lacksim use the stochastic sample of observations $(I_m \in \{0,1\})$

$$f_m = f_{m-1} + I_m \lambda \hat{e}_i^{m-1}$$

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー のへで

 train a model for residuals on a subsample of observations and do the cross-validation

Methodology. Idea of CQR boosting with heterogeneity

Introduction

Literature Review

Data

Methodology

Results for explanatory model

Methodoly cont.

Results for predictive model

Comparison

Conclusion

- use three-step CQR feature: train a model for residuals on the uncensored obsravations only
- ▲ split the sample on subsamples by

More cool ideas.

- ▶ type of performance: operas and ballets
- ▶ quality of seat: areas 1-5 and 6-9
- quality of performance by price signal: price for area1 < 1000 and 1000+

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のQ@

 if convergence is not acheived in some subsample then unsplit the subsamples

Results. Prediction accuracy

Introduction

Literature Review	Variable	Operas	Ballets	Total
Data	Accuracy	0.89	0.90	0.89
Methodology	Accuracy (area1)	0.94	0.88	0.92
	Accuracy (area2)	0.96	0.90	0.94
Results for	Accuracy (area3)	0.94	0.94	0.94
explanatory	Accuracy (area4)	0.95	0.95	0.95
model	Accuracy (area5)	0.84	0.90	0.89
Mathadaly	Accuracy (area6)	0.89	0.92	0.90
cont.	Accuracy (area7)	0.84	0.94	0.88
	Accuracy (area8)	0.86	0.87	0.86
Results for predictive	Accuracy (area9)	0.65	0.69	0.66

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

Comparison

. model

Results Prediction accuracy



Results. Prediction accuracy

		Training sample (80%)		Testing sample (20%)	
Introduction	Variable	framing sample (0070)		resting sumple (2070)	
Literature		Operas	Ballets	Operas	Ballets
Review					
	Accuracy	0.89	0.90	0.86	0.87
Data	Accuracy(area1)	0.95	0.89	0.92	0.77
Methodology	Accuracy(area2)	0.97	0.89	0.94	1.00
Wiethodology	Accuracy(area3)	0.95	0.92	0.95	0.89
Results for	Accuracy(area4)	0.96	0.94	0.88	1.00
explanatory	Accuracy(area5)	0.86	0.97	0.90	1.00
model	Accuracy(area6)	0.89	0.94	0.88	0.90
Methodolv	Accuracy(area7)	0.90	0.96	0.84	0.88
cont.	Accuracy(area8)	0.87	0.91	0.89	0.80
D 1. (Accuracy (area9)	0.67	0.70	0.50	0.61
Results for					
predictive	Accuracy	0.89		0.86	
moder	B^2	0.758		0.809	
Comparison	RMSE	0.06		0.07	
Conclusion	RMSE, %	8	.5	9	4

Results. Price elasticity

Introduction

Literature	Variable	Operas	Ballets	Total
Review				
Data	Price elasticity	-0.172	-0.024	-0.124
	Price elasticity (area1)	-0.076	-0.106	-0.087
Methodology	Price elasticity (area2)	-0.119	-0.061	-0.104
Results for	Price elasticity (area3)	-0.136	-0.056	-0.116
explanatory	Price elasticity (area4)	-0.083	-0.050	-0.075
model	Price elasticity (area5)	-0.211	-0.050	-0.171
Masha dala	Price elasticity (area6)	-0.309	-0.012	-0.147
wiethodoly	Price elasticity (area7)	-0.162	-0.001	-0.057
cont.	Price elasticity (area8)	-0.327	-0.001	-0.114
Results for	Price elasticity (area9)	-0.451	-0.024	-0.179

predictive . model

Comparison

Results. Comparison of models



Key results

- Introduction
- Literature Review
- Data
- Methodology
- Results for explanatory model
- Methodoly cont.
- Results for predictive model
- Comparison
- $\mathsf{Conclusion}$

- ▲ Heterogeneous effect of price on attendance
- ▲ Model is helpful for:
 - ▶ "What if" analysis
 - ► Prediction of attendance
 - ▶ Prediction of latent attendance and price optimization

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★□▶ ★□▶ □ のQ@