

Literature Review

Data

Methodology

Results

Robustness Check

Summary

Modelling preferences heterogeneity for performing arts: evidence from Perm Opera and Ballet Theatre

Buzanakova Alina, Ozhegov Evgeniy

Higher School of Economics

04/28/2016 GAMES Research Seminar



# Table of Contents



Literature Review

Data

Methodology

Results

Robustness Check

Summary



2 Literature Review

Data 🕽

3

4 Methodology

🌀 Results







#### Introduction

Literature Review

Data

Methodology

Results

Robustness Check

Summary

### Perm Opera and Ballet Theatre

- ▲ One of Russia's oldest theatres (Foundation in 1870).
- The theater has won the variety of awards (Golden Masks).
- The theatre is organizer of the International Diaghilev Festival and the Ekaterina Maximova Arabesque Ballet Competition.
- ▲ Since 2011 Teodor Currentzis is the theatre's Artistic Director.



#### Assumptions for Research Question

Introduction

Literature Review

Data

Methodology

Results

Robustness Check

Summary

▲ The theater is nonprofit.

- ▲ The goal is to attract the residents to cultural life.
- The revenue from performances should cover at least 10% of expenditures.
- Objective function: maximization of revenue or maximization of attendance?
- The seats in a house are heterogeneous (price, quality of view, sound, prestige).
- ▲ The seats in the same area are considered as homogeneous.
- Consumer demands for a particular seat (seating area), not only for performance.



#### Introduction

Literature Review

Data

Methodology

Results

Robustness Check

Summary

### Objective

Estimation of demand function for performing arts.

#### Research Question

Do the effects of a price and characteristics vary across the performances and the seats in a house?



### Literature Review

#### Introduction

#### Literature Review

Data

Methodology

Results

Robustness Check

Summary

### Studies on stated preferences

- ▲ Consumer preferences (Bille-Hansen, 1997);
- ▲ Utility function (Grisolia & Willis, 2012);
- Consumer surplus (Train, 2003);
- ▲ Willigness to pay (Levy-Garboua & Montmarquette, 1996);
  - A Patron (Baumol & Bowen, 1966).



# Literature Review

#### Introduction

#### Literature Review

Data

Methodology

Results

Robustness Check

Summary

#### Studies on revealed preferences

- Price elasticity of demand (Moore, 1966; Throsby & Withers, 1979);
- Income elasticity of demand (Greckel & Felton, 1987; Felton, 1994);
- Cross price elasticity of demand (Withers, 1980; Gapinski, 1984);
- ▲ The effect of quality (Throsby, 1983; Corning & Levy, 2002).

# Data Description

#### Introduction

Literature Review

#### Data

Methodology

Results

Robustness Check

Summary

Data Source: Sales System of Perm Opera and Ballet Theatre

3

- ▲ 4 seasons (2011-2012/2014-2015)
- ▲ 985 performances
- ▲ 170 unique productions
- ▲ 680000 sales operations

# Data Description

#### Introduction

Literature Review

#### Data

Methodology

Results

Robustness Check

Summary

- ▲ The name of performance;
- ▲ time: season, year, month, day of week, hour;
- ▲ the basic price of a ticket;
  - the sector (loge, the stalls, tiered stalls, circle, upper circle);

3

- ▲ row and seat;
- ▲ seating area.



### Scheme of a house



Literature Review

#### Data

Methodology

Results

Robustness Check

Summary





Literature Review

#### Data

Methodology

Results

Robustness Check

Summary

- Type of performance (opera/ballet);
- the date of writing (classical/modern);
- the author (the rating, the nationality);
- the world rating of production;
- ▲ the date of premiere in a theatre;
- ▲ the duration of performance;
- ▲ the director and band director of production;
  - chorus-master/ballet-master;
- ▲ the number of awards;
- ▲ age recommended for attendance.



### Descriptive statistics: performance characteristics

	Variable	O bs	Share
Introduction	Τ	2692	
I farmanna	Type of performance	2002	26.6
Literature	Ballet	954	30.0
Review	Opera	1728	64.4
Data	The date of writing	4257	
Data	Before 1990	2304	54.1
Methodology	1990 and later on	1953	48.9
D II	Recommended age group	2682	
Results	From 0	1107	41.3
Robustness	From 12	1170	43.6
Check	From 16	405	15.1
C	The presence of nomination in Golden Mask	4257	
Summary	Presence	2061	48.4
	Absence	2196	51.6
	The presence of winning in Golden Mask	4257	
	Presence	1719	40.4
	Absence	2538	59.6
	The author's nationality	4257	
	Russian	1521	35.7
	Other	2736	64.3



### Descriptive statistics: performance characteristics

Introduction

E

iterature	Variable	Description	O bs	Mean	St.dev.	Min	Max
eview							
ata	Length	Length in minutes	1971	152.9	46.60	60	230
1eth o dology	Antracts	The number of antracts	1971	1.60	0.6	1	3
obustness	Acts	The number of acts	2268	2.7	0.66	1	4
heck	Rating of opera	1/(rating of opera)	2682	0.08	0.22	0.01	1
ummary	Rating of composer	1/(rating of composer)	2682	0.09	0.21	0.01	1
	Rating of ballet	1/(rating of ballet)	2682	0.09	0.22	0.01	1



# Descriptive statistics: price

#### Introduction

_iterature Review	Variable	O bs	Mean	St.dev.	Min	Max
Data						
Vlethodology	Basic price	4257	412	381	100	2000
	Basic price (area1)	473	903.4	503.2	300	2000
<i>desults</i>	Basic price (area2)	473	619.8	655.1	250	1400
Robustness	Basic price (area3)	473	525.2	364.8	210	1300
Check	Basic price (area4)	473	462.1	336.9	180	1200
_	Basic price (area5)	473	378.1	278.3	160	1000
bummary	Basic price (area6)	473	299.4	222.2	140	800
	Basic price (area7)	473	239.9	158.6	120	600
	Basic price (area8)	473	180.4	94.5	110	400
	Basic price (area9)	473	100	0	100	100
	[					



### Descriptive statistics: attendance rate

#### Introduction

Literature

Variable         Obs         Mean         St.dev.         Min           Data         Attendance rate         4257         0.80         0.25         0           Attendance (area1)         473         0.85         0.16         0.11           Attendance (area2)         473         0.89         0.14         0.35           Attendance (area3)         473         0.89         0.15         0.35           Attendance (area4)         473         0.90         0.15         0.11           Attendance (area5)         473         0.84         0.21         0.11           Attendance (area6)         473         0.80         0.25         0.06           Minnery         Attendance (area6)         473         0.80         0.25         0.06	
Data         Attendance rate         4257         0.80         0.25         0           Attendance (area1)         473         0.85         0.16         0.11           Results         Attendance (area2)         473         0.89         0.14         0.35           Robustness         Attendance (area3)         473         0.89         0.15         0.35           Attendance (area4)         473         0.90         0.15         0.11           Attendance (area5)         473         0.84         0.21         0.11           Summary         Attendance (area6)         473         0.80         0.25         0.06	lax
Attendance rate         4257         0.80         0.25         0           Attendance (area1)         473         0.85         0.16         0.11           Results         Attendance (area2)         473         0.89         0.14         0.35           Robustness         Attendance (area3)         473         0.89         0.15         0.35           Attendance (area4)         473         0.90         0.15         0.11           Attendance (area5)         473         0.84         0.21         0.11           Summary         Attendance (area6)         473         0.80         0.25         0.06	
Attendance (area1)         473         0.85         0.16         0.11           Results         Attendance (area2)         473         0.89         0.14         0.35           Attendance (area3)         473         0.89         0.15         0.35           Attendance (area3)         473         0.90         0.15         0.11           Robustness         Attendance (area4)         473         0.90         0.15         0.11           Attendance (area5)         473         0.84         0.21         0.11           Summary         Attendance (area6)         473         0.80         0.25         0.06	1
Attendance (area2)         473         0.89         0.14         0.35           Robustness         Attendance (area3)         473         0.89         0.15         0.35           Check         Attendance (area4)         473         0.90         0.15         0.11           Check         Attendance (area5)         473         0.84         0.21         0.11           Summary         Attendance (area6)         473         0.80         0.25         0.06	1
Attendance (area3)         473         0.89         0.15         0.35           Cobustness         Attendance (area4)         473         0.90         0.15         0.11           Check         Attendance (area5)         473         0.84         0.21         0.11           Summary         Attendance (area6)         473         0.80         0.25         0.06	1
Cobustness         Attendance (area4)         473         0.90         0.15         0.11           Check         Attendance (area5)         473         0.84         0.21         0.11           Summary         Attendance (area6)         473         0.80         0.25         0.06	1
Attendance (area5)         473         0.84         0.21         0.11           Summary         Attendance (area6)         473         0.80         0.25         0.06	1
Summary Attendance (area6) 473 0.80 0.25 0.06	1
	1
Attendance (area7) $473$ $0.70$ $0.32$ $0.02$	1
Attendance (area8) 473 0.65 0.34 0	1
Attendance (area9) 473 0.72 0.31 0	1



### Descriptive statistics: attendance rate



### Data Analysis





# Methodology

Model of censored quantile regression (Chernozhukov & Hong, 2011):

$$Q_{y_{ij}^*|x_{ij},p_{ij}}(\alpha) = x_{ij}\beta(\alpha) + p_{ij}\gamma(\alpha),$$

$$Q_{y_{ij}|x_{ij},p_{ij}}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} Q_{y_{ij}^*|x_{ij},p_{ij}}(\alpha), & y_{ij}^* \le 1\\ 1, & y_{ij}^* > 1 \end{cases},$$
(1)

#### Introduction

Literature Review

Data

#### Methodology

Results

Robustness Check

Summary

#### where

 $y_{ij}$  is the observed demand on performance i in seating area j;  $y_{ij}^*$  is a potential demand on performance i in seating area j;  $Q(\alpha)$  is a conditional quantile function of level  $\alpha$ ;  $\alpha$  is a level of quantile,  $\alpha \in [0..1]$ ;

 $p_{ij}$  is the price of a ticket on performance i in a  $j\mbox{-th}$  seating area;

 $x_{ij}$  are the characteristics of performance i in a j-th seating area.



# Estimation procedure

Introduction

Literature Review

Data

#### Methodology

Results

Robustness Check

Summary

# Step 1. Estimation of probability to be censored for each observation

$$\hat{P}_{ij} = E[Prob(y_{ij} > 1) | x_{ij}, p_{ij})], \forall (ij)$$

#### Step 2. Estimation of model on the sample $J_0$

$$\begin{split} \check{y}_{ij} &= Q_{y_{ij}|x_{ij},p_{ij}}(\alpha), \text{ where } (ij) \in J_0\\ J_0 &= \{(ij)|\hat{P}_{ij} \leq F_{0.9}^{-1}(\hat{P}_{ij} < (1-\alpha))\} \end{split}$$

### Step 3. Final estimation of model on the sample $J_1$

$$\begin{split} \hat{y}_{ij} &= Q_{y_{ij}|x_{ij},p_{ij}}(\alpha), \text{ where } (ij) \in J_1 \\ J_1 &= \{(ij)|\check{y}_{ij} \leq F_{0.97}^{-1}(\check{y}_{ij} \leq 1)\} \end{split}$$



# Results. Regressions

			Median	Censored median
Variable	/ariable	OLS	regression	regression
		-0.022***	-0.015***	_0.028***
Basic pri	ce/100	(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.004)
		0.060***	0.052***	0.004)
The russ	an author	(0.010)	(0.017)	(0.019)
		0.110***	0.112***	0.010/
Premier		(0.014)	(0.022)	(0.026)
		0.014)	0.023)	(0.020)
Rating of	opera	(0.034	0.008	0.020
-		(0.027)	(0.044)	(0.044)
Rating of	ballet	0.10/***	0.072*	0.252***
0		(0.023)	(0.038)	(0.054)
Туре: Ва	llet	(0.012)	(0.001)	(0.024)
		(0.013)	(0.021)	(0.024)
Number	of awards in GM	0.045***	0.053***	0.059***
		(0.011)	(0.019)	(0.020)
Band director T Currentzis	0.039**	0.020	0.054*	
		(0.019)	(0.031)	(0.032)
Recomm	ended age: from 12 v.o.	0.039***	0.007	0.043**
		(0.011)	(0.018)	(0.019)
Recomm	ended age from 16 v o	-0.078***	-0.128***	-0.098***
	indea age:	(0.018)	(0.030)	regression -0.028*** (0.004) 0.090*** (0.018) 0.154*** (0.026) 0.020 (0.044) 0.252*** (0.054) 0.414*** (0.024) 0.059*** (0.020) 0.054** (0.022) 0.054** (0.032) 0.043** (0.032) 0.043** (0.019) -0.098*** (0.026) 0.847*** (0.026) 0.847*** (0.026) 0.847*** (0.026) 0.847*** (0.026) 0.847*** (0.026) 0.847*** (0.026) 0.847*** (0.026) 0.847*** (0.026) 0.847*** (0.026) 0.847*** (0.026) 0.847*** (0.026) 0.847*** (0.026) 0.847*** (0.026) 0.847*** (0.026) 0.847*** (0.026) 0.98** (0.026) 0.026 (0.026) 0.026 (0.026) 0.025 (0.026) 0.027 (0.027) 0.027 (0.027) 0.027 (0.028) (0.028) (0.028) (0.028) (0.027) (0.028) (0.028) (0.028) (0.028) (0.028) (0.028) (0.029) (0.028) (0.029) (0.028) (0.029) (0.026) (0.027) (0.026)
The time	of day: evening	-0.028**	-0.010	-0.026
ine time	of day. evening	(0.014)	(0.023)	(0.026)
Constant		0.793***	0.813***	0.847***
Constant		(0.025)	(0.041)	(0.047)
Number	of observations	2682	2682	2105
Number	of parameters	35	35	35
$B^2$		0.467	_	_



# Results. Comparison of marginal effects





In Li R D M R C S

# Results. Censored quantile regression on different quantiles

	Variable	α=0.2	α=0.4	<b>α=0.6</b>	α=0.8
	D : : /100	-0.036***	-0.031***	-0.026***	-0.012***
	Dasic price/100	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.004)	(0.002)
troduction	<b>T</b> I :	0.104***	0.107***	0.086***	0.046***
	ine russian author	(0.016)	(0.016)	(0.020)	(0.011)
	Duranian	0.182***	0.174***	0.135***	0.056***
	Fremier	(0.022)	(0.023)	(0.029)	(0.017)
eview	D .: (	0.105***	0.071*	0.018	0.013
	Rating of opera	(0.040)	(0.040)	(0.049)	(0.029)
did		0.267***	0.195***	0.233***	0.157***
ethodology	Rating of ballet	(0.045)	(0.046)	(0.060)	(0.049)
07	трин	0.533***	0.466***	0.363***	0.135***
esults	Type: Ballet	(0.021)	(0.022)	(0.028)	(0.015)
	The sumble of succeds in CM	0.063***	0.074***	0.030	0.015
bustness	The number of awards in Givi	(0.017)	(0.017)	(0.021)	(0.016)
reck	Rand director T. Currentzia	0.009	0.036	0.008	-0.004
	Band director 1. Currentzis	(0.029)	(0.029)	(0.035)	(0.021)
mmary	D 1 1 1 10	0.049***	0.038**	0.048**	0.017
	Recommended age: from 12 y.o.	(0.017)	(0.017)	(0.022)	(0.012)
	December and a set from 16	-0.095***	-0.117***	0.046	-0.030
	Recommended age: from 10 y.o.	(0.027)	(0.027)	(0.033)	(0.019)
	The sime of devices and in a	-0.068***	-0.018	-0.018	-0.003
	The time of day: evening	(0.022)	(0.023)	(0.029)	(0.016)
	Constant	0.674***	0.795***	0.891***	0.973***
	Constant	(0.040)	(0.042)	(0.053)	(0.029)
	Number of observations	2343	2170	2008	1985
	Number of parameters	35	35	35	35

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで



# Endogeneity of Price

Introduction

Literature Review

Data

Methodology

Results

Robustness Check

Summary

- Possible correlation between unobservable performance quality and price
- ▲ The variation of price within the performance as an instrument for the price (Hausman & Taylor, 1981)
- ▲ Two-step estimation procedure (Chernozhukov, Kowalski & Fernandez-Val, 2015)
  - Regression of price on within price and performance characteristics
  - Regression of attendance rate on price, performance characteristics and residuals



# Endogeneity of Price. Estimation

Step 1. Regression of price on within price and performance characteristics

Introduction

Literature Review

Data

Methodology

Results

Robustness Check

Summary

 $\hat{p}_{ijk} = Q_{p_{ijk}|\tilde{p}_{ijk},x_{ijk}}(\alpha)$ , where  $\tilde{p}_{ijk} = p_{ijk} - \bar{p}_{\cdot jk}$  $\hat{e}_{ijk} = p_{ijk} - \hat{p}_{ijk}$  - residuals  $p_{ijk}$  - the price on play i in seating area j for performance k $\tilde{p}_{ijk}$  - price within, an instrument for  $p_{ijk}$ ,  $\bar{p}_{\cdot jk}$  - the average price over plays on performance k in seating area j.

Step 2. Regression of attendance rate on price, performance characteristics and residuals from the first step

$$\hat{y}_{ijk} = Q_{y_{ijk}|p_{ijk}, x_{ijk}, \hat{e}_{ijk}}(\alpha)$$



### Endogeneity of Price. Results

Introduction							
		α=0.3	α=0.3	$\alpha = 0.5$	$\alpha = 0.5$	$\alpha = 0.7$	$\alpha = 0.7$
Review		CQIV	CQR	CQIV	CQR	CQIV	CQR
Data	Basic	-0.041***	-0.040***	-0.038***	-0.037***	-0.031***	-0.030***
Methodology	ê	-0.000	(0.000) -	0.000	-	0.000	-
Results		(0.000)		(0.000)		(0.000)	
Robustness	N	1931	2221	1737	1998	1563	1798
Check	K	35	35	35	35	35	35

Summary

Note: standard errors are in parenthesis. Significance levels: \* p < 0.1, \*\* p < 0.05, \*\*\* p < 0.01. In the model we also include as control variables: the nationality of author, the rating and type of performance, the premier year, the band director, the recommended age, the time of day, the number of Golden Mask, the year and month of play and seating area dummies.



# ${\small Summary \ of \ Results}$

#### Introduction

Literature Review

Data

Methodology

Results

Robustness Check

Summary

- ▲ The necessity to employ the quantile regression
- ▲ The necessity to account for demand censoring
- ▲ Consumers are elastic by price on average
- Price elasticity is decreasing with the quantile in absolute values
- Robustness check has revealed an absence of endogeneity problem.